

# ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

Churchill Building 10019 103 Avenue Edmonton AB T5J 0G9 Phone: (780) 496-5026

# NOTICE OF DECISION NO. 782/11

Altus Group 17327 106A Avenue EDMONTON, AB T5S 1M7 The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held on February 27, 2012, respecting a complaint for:

Roll Number	Municipal Address	Legal Description	Assessed Value	Assessment Type	Assessment Notice for:
3747151	11403 Kingsway	Plan: 4259TR Block: 21 Lot:	\$20,505,500	Annual New	2011
	NW	1R, 2, 3, 4			

#### **Before:**

Tom Robert, Presiding Officer Brian Hetherington, Board Member Howard Worrell, Board Member

# Board Officer: Jason Morris

# Persons Appearing on behalf of Complainant:

Walid Melhem, Altus Group

# Persons Appearing on behalf of Respondent:

Frank Wong, Assessor, City of Edmonton Tanya Smith, Law Branch, City of Edmonton

# PRELIMINARY MATTERS

The Respondent and Complainant provided the Board with a withdrawal to correction form that had been signed by both parties to the matter. The Respondent indicated that under normal circumstances the matter would have been withdrawn, but because this hearing is being held in the calendar year following the assessment year, it was necessary to have these changes approved by the Board.

### ISSUE(S)

The only issue was the market value of the subject property.

# **LEGISLATION**

#### Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26

s 467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

s 467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
- b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

# **DECISION**

The value of the subject is revised to \$19,748,500.

# **REASONS FOR THE DECISION**

Based on the joint submission of the parties, the Board adopts the recommendation as a fair and equitable value for the subject property.

#### **DISSENTING OPINION AND REASONS**

There were none.

Dated this 27<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2012, at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta.

Tom Robert, Presiding Officer

*This decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26.* 

cc: LOBLAW PROPERTIES WEST INC